

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Revised

| | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Bill Number: 1274 HB | Title: Juvenile convictions/score | Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts |
|-----------------------------|--|--|

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

| STATE | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 | 2029-31 |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| State FTE Staff Years | .8 | .8 | .8 | .8 | .8 |
| Account | | | | | |
| General Fund-State 001-1 | 193,500 | 190,000 | 383,500 | 380,000 | 380,000 |
| State Subtotal \$ | 193,500 | 190,000 | 383,500 | 380,000 | 380,000 |
| COUNTY | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 | 2029-31 |
| County FTE Staff Years | | | | | |
| Account | | | | | |
| Local - Counties | 750,000 | 750,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |
| Counties Subtotal \$ | 750,000 | 750,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |
| CITY | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 | 2029-31 |
| City FTE Staff Years | | | | | |
| Account | | | | | |
| Local - Cities | | | | | |
| Cities Subtotal \$ | | | | | |

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note for Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Legislative Contact: Michelle Rusk | Phone: 360-786-7153 | Date: 01/22/2025 |
| Agency Preparation: Chris Conn | Phone: 360-704-5512 | Date: 02/11/2025 |
| Agency Approval: Chris Stanley | Phone: 360-357-2406 | Date: 02/11/2025 |
| OFM Review: | Phone: | Date: |

201,692.00

Request # 076-2

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

1

Bill # 1274 HB

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill allows any person convicted of a crime prior to July 23, 2023, whose offender score was increased due to points from juvenile adjudications may petition the sentencing court for resentencing, to recalculate the sentence without the addition of juvenile points. This bill calls for a tiered approach of people eligible to petition the court (Section 2(1)) and requires the courts to immediately set expedited resentencing hearings (Section 2(2)).

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

The bill would have fiscal impacts for AOC court form updates and for courts to set resentencing hearings upon a motion for relief.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

Minimal fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC). AOC would incur costs of \$3,500 for forms updates if the bill passes. Estimates include costs for approximately 15 hours of Legal Services Senior Analyst time.

AOC STAFF IMPACTS INCLUDE STANDARD COSTS

Explanation of standard costs by object:

Salary estimates are current biennium actual rates at Step L.

Benefits are the agency average of 31.89% of salaries.

Goods and Services are the agency average of \$3,800 per direct program FTE.

Travel is the agency average of \$2,500 per direct program FTE.

One-time IT Equipment is \$4,800 for the first fiscal year per direct program FTE. Ongoing Equipment is the agency average of \$1,600 per direct program FTE.

Agency Indirect is calculated at a rate of 24.73% of direct program salaries and benefits.

SUPERIOR COURT IMPACT

According to an analysis of Department of Corrections (DOC) data, the total eligible population under this bill is between 702 and 856 persons. Based on review of previous bills, it was determined that an accurate estimate of average hearing length would be 30 minutes for agreed resentences and 60 minutes for contested resentences.

Applying these updated resentencing hearing times to the superior court cost formula, the revised the judicial need assessment equals 0.83 FTE with corresponding court costs of \$750,000. This reflects a 60-minute allotment for contested cases (prep time and hearing time) and a 30-minute allotment for uncontested cases (prep time and hearing time). These are averages, so we expect judges across the state to encounter hearings that fall on either side of these time estimates.

This would equal an estimated annual court cost of \$902,000.

State annual cost = \$152,000 (50 percent of salary/100 percent of benefits for judges' compensation)

Counties annual cost = \$750,000

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

| <i>State</i> | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 | 2029-31 |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| FTE Staff Years | .8 | .8 | .8 | .8 | .8 |
| | | | | | |
| Salaries and Wages | 95,000 | 95,000 | 190,000 | 190,000 | 190,000 |
| Employee Benefits | 57,000 | 57,000 | 114,000 | 114,000 | 114,000 |
| Professional Service Contracts | | | | | |
| Goods and Other Services | 3,500 | | 3,500 | | |
| Travel | | | | | |
| Capital Outlays | | | | | |
| Inter Agency/Fund Transfers | | | | | |
| Grants, Benefits & Client Services | | | | | |
| Debt Service | | | | | |
| Interagency Reimbursements | | | | | |
| Intra-Agency Reimbursements | 38,000 | 38,000 | 76,000 | 76,000 | 76,000 |
| Total \$ | 193,500 | 190,000 | 383,500 | 380,000 | 380,000 |

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

| <i>County</i> | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 | 2029-31 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| FTE Staff Years | | | | | |
| Salaries and Benefits | | | | | |
| Capital | | | | | |
| Other | 750,000 | 750,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |
| | | | | | |
| Total \$ | 750,000 | 750,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

| <i>City</i> | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 | 2029-31 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| FTE Staff Years | | | | | |
| Salaries and Benefits | | | | | |
| Capital | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total \$ | | | | | |

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

| <i>Job Classification</i> | <i>Salary</i> | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 | 2029-31 |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Superior Court Judge | 152,000 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Total FTEs | | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

201,692.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

None